

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,156	03/27/2002	Hiroaki Munehira	220800US2XPCT	9787
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			WANG, QUAN ZHEN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/31/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

<u> </u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/089,156	MUNEHIRA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Quan-Zhen Wang	2613		
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet witl	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- port. Decriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> 20 November 2007</u> .			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	·			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 15-18 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 15-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐				
Applicant may not request that any objection t	* ' '	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been i ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	_			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

10/089,156 Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerfoot et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,704,511 B1) in view of Ryu et al. (U.S. Patent US 6,330,384B1), and further in view of Cao (U.S. Patent US 6,731,877 B1).

Regarding claims 15 and 17, Kerfoot discloses a wavelength division multiplexing and optical transmission apparatus (fig. 3), comprising:

an optical multiplexer (fig. 3, multiplexer 132) configured to transmit a multiplexed optical signal including a non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal (fig. 3, signals from dummy optical source 200) and a plurality of modulated optical signals (fig. 3, signals from transmitters 150);

a plurality of optical transmitting units (fig. 3, transmitters 150) configured to output the plurality of modulated optical signals to respective input ports of the optical multiplexer, each of the optical transmitting units configured to modulate a unique wavelength with a plurality of data signals and to output a respective modulated optical signal occupying a respective portion of a signal band; and

Application/Control Number:

10/089,156 Art Unit: 2613

a dummy optical signal source device (fig. 3, dummy optical source 200) configured to generate the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal, including:

an input optical amplifier with non input (column 3, lines 53-56) to generate an amplified spontaneous emission light signal,

a light dividing element (fig. 5, DEMUX 144) connected the output of the optical amplifier device and configured to output plural signals related to the amplified spontaneous emission light signal,

a first and second plurality of bandpass filters (fig. 5, the bandpass filters) having adjacent filter pass bands, each bandpass filter having a respective bandpass characteristic (inherent) and each arranged to receive a respective one of the plural signals output from the light dividing element and configured to output a respective first and second non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal components (fig. 7, signals 182 and 184), the first non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal component being adjacent on a high side to the signal band, the second non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal component being adjacent on a low side to the signal band (fig. 7),

a first dummy signal optical multiplexer (fig. 5, MUX 146), each dummy signal optical multiplexer having inputs connected to outputs of the first and second plurality of bandpass filters, respectively (fig. 7).

10/089,156 Art Unit: 2613

Kerfoot differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot does not specifically disclose that the dummy optical source comprising a second dummy signal multiplexer. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a second dummy signal multiplexer in the system of Kerfoot, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co.*, 193 *USPQ 8*.

The modified system of Kerfoot differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot does not specifically disclose that he input optical amplifier having a signal input terminated without reflection. However, it is well known in the art to terminate an optical amplifier without reflection for a broad band amplified spontaneous emission light source. For example, Ryu teaches an optical amplifying means (fig. 3) for outputting an amplified spontaneous emission light signal including an optical amplifier having a signal input terminated without reflection (fig. 3, terminal end 50). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to use the termination concept of Ryu in the system of Kerfoot to configure the optical amplifying means including an optical amplifier having a signal input terminated without reflection. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to do so in order to avoid an undesired oscillation (Ryu, column 3, lines 18-24).

The modified system of Kerfoot and Ryu differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot and Ryu do not specifically disclose that the dummy optical signal source comprising: a first and second output optical amplifier, each having an input connected

Application/Control Number:

10/089,156

Art Unit: 2613

to an output of a respective one of the first and second dummy signal optical multiplexer, and having respective outputs. However, Cao, from the same field of endeavor, teaches a concept of connecting an optical amplifier (i.e., fig. 4, amplifier 9) to a multiplexer (fig. 1, Amplifiers 24a and 24b). It is well recognized that an optical signal degrades as it travels through a transmission line or an optical component, and t is also well recognized that an optical amplifier could be used at any point of a communication system to restore signal strength of an optical signal, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to incorporate optical amplifiers connecting to the first and second signal multiplexer in the modified system of Kerfoot and Ryu, as it is disclosed by Cao, in order to restore or boost the optical signal strength to a desired level.

Regarding claims 16 and 18, the modified system of Kerfoot, Ryu, and Cao differs from the claimed invention in that Kerfoot, Ryu, and Cao do not disclose that the dummy optical signal source device in the system further comprising:

a third, fourth and fifth plurality of bandpass filters having adjacent filter pass bands, and arrange to receive respective ones of the plural signals output from the light dividing element,

a third, fourth and fifth dummy signal optical multiplexer connected to a respective one of the third, fourth and fifth plurality of bandpass filters,

a third, fourth and fifth output optical amplifier connected to a respective one of the third, fourth and fifth dummy signal optical multiplexer, the third and fifth output optical amplifier configured to amplify

at a heightened amplification level when the fourth output optical amplifier does not output a corresponding non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal so as to maintain a predetermined overall gain profile of the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signals input to the dummy signal optical multiplexer.

However, these limitations are further duplications of the essential working parts of the modified system of Kerfoot, Ryu, and Cao. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to configure the dummy optical signal source device in the system further including:

a third, fourth and fifth plurality of bandpass filters having adjacent filter pass bands, and arrange to receive respective ones of the plural signals output from the light dividing element,

a third, fourth and fifth dummy signal optical multiplexer connected to a respective one of the third, fourth and fifth plurality of bandpass filters,

a third, fourth and fifth output optical amplifier connected to a respective one of the third, fourth and fifth dummy signal optical multiplexer, the third and fifth output optical amplifier configured to amplify at a heightened amplification level when the fourth output optical amplifier does not output a corresponding non-modulated spectrum slice optical signal so as to maintain a predetermined overall gain profile of the non-modulated spectrum slice optical signals input to the dummy signal optical multiplexer;

10/089,156

Art Unit: 2613

since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed on November 20, 2007 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejections.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quan-Zhen Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3114. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM 5:00 PM, Monday Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qzw

1/21/2008 Cuan-Zhen W